

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/732,825		12/10/2003	Jose I. Villarreal		6790
41781	7590	06/29/2005	EXA		MINER
-		NING PLLC	SEMBER, T	SEMBER, THOMAS M	
7700 BROADWAY, SUITE 202 SAN ANTONIO, TX 78209				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	<u>, </u>			2875	
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	A5~				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/732,825	VILLARREAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas M. Sember	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ton. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) doeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 April 2005.					
· _ ·	This action is non-final.					
	<i>,</i> —					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and sub	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	=					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applica priority documents have been receiv ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition Noved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/03						

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claim 5 claims an opaque lens but there is no support of a opaque lens in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5 claims that the lens is opaque which contradicts applicant's purpose of displaying an image. The applicant does disclose that the LCD can be opaque but there is no teaching or suggestion to make the lens opaque. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 7, lines 1-2 "said plurality of buttons" lacks a positive antecedent basis.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show to show the LCD 12, cavity 22, circuitry and printed circuit board as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the

sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an

Page 3

amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-2. type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,715,911 in view of Henoch.

Claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,715,911 discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that Liquid crystal includes an LED. Claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6.715.911 discloses a casing having a base and a periphery upwardly extending from the base forming an interior cavity, a lens mated to said casing; circuitry disposed

Art Unit: 2875

within said casing and electrically sending signals to the LCD; and an image mounted between said lens and said circuitry.

Henoch teaches an LED 44 for an article locator. The LED is activated by circuitry electrically sending signals to the LED. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the LCD of claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,715,911 to include an LED in order to efficiently illuminate the LCD image upon activation of a signal to the LED as taught by Henoch.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blotky et al in view of Henoch. Blotky et al discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that Liquid cyrstal includes an LED. Blotky discloses a casing 12 having a base and a periphery upwardly extending from the base forming an interior cavity, a lens 18 mated to said casing; circuitry disposed 30 within said casing and electrically sending signals to the LCD; and an image (see column 2, lines 50-55) mounted between said lens and said circuitry. Regarding claim 5, the microprocessor

Art Unit: 2875

receives signals. Regarding 8, jewelry device sends a signal to at least one companion device. Regarding claim 9, the image can receive various data. Regarding claim 10, the jewelry device is coupled to a jewelry attachment selected from a group consisting of a necklace loop, a ring, a wrist band, an earring fastener and a pen adornment. (see column 1, lines 1-30).

Henoch teaches an LED 44 for an article locator. The LED is activated by circuitry electrically sending signals to the LED. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the LCD of Blotky et al to include an LED in order to efficiently illuminate the LCD image upon activation of a signal to the LED as taught by Henoch.

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blotky et al in view of Henoch as applied in claims 1, 5 and 8-10 further in view of Watanabe. Blotky et al in view of Henoch as applied in claims 1, 5 and 8-10 discloses the claimed invention except the teaching of a metal watch case. Watanabe teaches a metal watch case 110. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/732,825 Page 6

Art Unit: 2875

was made to substitute the metal housing of Watanabe for the housing of Blotky et al in order to provide a more aesthetically pleasing watch.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blotky et al in view of Henoch as applied in claims 1, 5 and 8-10 further in view of Hornsby et al. Blotky et al in view of Henoch as applied in claim 1, 5 and 8-10 discloses the claimed invention except the teaching of the lens being a magnifying piece of glass, a mirror cover or a scratch-resistant material. Hornsby et al teaches a scratch resistant lens 32. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the scratch resistant lens of Hornsby et al for the lens of Blotky et al in order to prevent the lens from damage.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kurosu et al , Lys et al '868 and '954 discloses illumination devices similar to applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875
